

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2113 Name Eliane Bodanese

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The statement that brown sites are not sufficient for new developments is not properly discussed and no single proof is given. Brown sites should be clearly delineated as possible developments and only after a clear proof that they are not sufficient then a plan for minimal green belt usage should be drawn. The plan is make too much usage of the green belt, that should be preserved and only use as very last resort. In terms of brown sites, In Epping, the brown site shown is the Epping Station car park, that should never be used for house development, because if more people come to live in Epping or near by and use the Epping Tube Station, more spaces in the car park must be provided in order to supply sufficient infrastructure for the growth of the town. Suggesting to scrap the site to build houses is completely incorrect for the future of Epping. Currently, cars that cannot find spaces in the Epping Station car park already are flooding the streets of Epping, leaving no parking to residents. Roads like Crows Road and Tower Road are really suffering with people parking and leaving their cars all day in the road. Scrapping the Epping Station car park will make most of the roads of Epping flooded with parked cars. This will be a disaster, then I cannot understand how the council can suggest to use that space for housing when a bigger car park for Epping Station is desperately needed.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2113

Name Eliane

Bodanese

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

As mentioned before, to emphasise the problems with the plans in Epping and near by sites: The statement that brown sites are not sufficient for new developments is not properly discussed and no single proof is given. Brown sites should be clearly delineated as possible developments and only after a clear proof that they are not sufficient then a plan for minimal green belt usage should be drawn. In Epping, the brown site shown is the Epping Station car park, that should never be used for house development, because if more people come to live in Epping or nearby and use the Epping Tube Station, more spaces in the car park must be provided in order to supply sufficient infrastructure for the growth of the town. Suggesting to scrap the site to build

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

houses is completely incorrect for the future of Epping. Currently, cars that cannot find spaces in the Epping Station car park already are flooding the streets of Epping, leaving no parking to residents. Roads like Crows Road and Tower Road are really suffering with people parking and leaving their cars all day in the road. Scrapping the Epping Station car park will make most of the roads of Epping flooded with parked cars. This will

be a disaster, then I cannot understand how the council can suggest to use that space for housing when a bigger car park for Epping Station is so much needed.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

As explained before, there are many parts of infrastructure that were not properly thought, like the need for bigger car parks if more people are going to live in the area and use the means of transport. The solutions for transport are also not sufficient.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?