

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2115 Name Lynne Kent

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

How can you down scale Waltham Abby town centre from 80% shops to 40% shops and increase foot flow into town. It is the shops that create the footflow.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Build a new garden market town.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2115

Name Lynne

Kent

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

I live, work and worship in Waltham Abbey, with my family and extended family.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

The fire service provision is the worse in Essex, you can not build on this site. It does not support the plans infrastructure for growth. It put the town at and Lea Valley at risk. We have more water than Norfolk and the boat rescue is regularly used. If the fire station was moved from town it means that we have no fire protection, M25 accident response unit, no second fire engine coming to support, but body recovery. We should be increasing our fire rescue provision not down grading it in Waltham Abbey town. The Abbey Church itself is our towns major tourist attraction and people travel from all over the world, there should be a

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

realistic fire protection plan to protect it. The community centre is not a suitable site and should be protected for community use.

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

You are looking to build on Saxon Way Community centre, this is used regularly to get our venerable and elderly residents out of their homes, to socialise and eat. It is vitally important that this alongside the thriving

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

successful preschool, which is over subscribe and other community groups are protected, jobs protected. For both the Fire Station and Saxon Way community centre there are no other viable central town site as an alternative site. The council has looked at this before and the elderly group have a 17 year lease from Essex County Council I believe. As mentioned early I strongly object to building on the Fire Station site, we already have the worst fire provision in Essex, peoples lives are worth more than these few houses. It does not support the infrastructure of an expanding town. Building on Darby Drive is also not expectable this is a historic Christian burial ground and should not be disturbed. It is also part of the lost Abbey Church, it should be preserved for exploration. With regards to Lea Valley car park which is to be pay and display next year, we need to make cheap and or free parking places to encourage people into town to spend money and drive our towns economy.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Where is the water storage for times of drought. The infrastructure is not clear from car parking to school to doctor or dentist. It is not proven to support this growth.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?