

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2124 Name Eve Close

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I disagree that this vision reflects the reason behind all the new housing. Loughton has a parking problem now which will only get worse by the new proposals. Schools are all full and local people cannot always get a place in the closest schools, once again the new proposals of building new houses will only make this situation worse.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I do not believe this plan is in the best interest of Loughton residents. Over full trains will be pushed into dangers levels of passengers. Schools are full and extended and quality levels will fall. Budgets for schools are already at a low and I dont believe this plan is acting in the interests of the children that already live in Loughton.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I do not live in Harlow so I would not like to give an opinion. I live in Loughton and am focussed on how the plans will directly affect Loughton residents.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2124 Name Eve Close

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I do not know enough about these employment plans to have an opinion.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

As already stated I disagree with the proposed plans for Loughton. Loughton is a lovely green and safe place to live. Building on green belt is not good for Loughton residents, building on car parks will only cause more problems with car parking and drive people to shop elsewhere, ruining the high road. The schools are already

full and over subscribed, these plans are harming our children's education. Trains are already full to capacity, these plans will over fill the trains to dangerous capacity and make the service unsafe.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I do not believe you can increase the central line service which is already highly over used. I also do not believe that the plans in place to improve education is realistic, schools are full and have already been extended where possible. This has left some schools with very little outside space for children to play and their budgets are very tight already. Roding school for example does not have enough space or money to buy new tables, fix and maintain the school to a standard it should be in. More houses will put more pressure on all schools in fighting and the children's education will suffer as a result.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?