

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2165      Name Mrs Jane Forker-Clark  
Forker-Clark

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Buckhurst Hill is already developed to the max. There is no spare capacity within our doctors surgeries, dentists, schools, transport system for additional large scale development. Our quality of life is already in question with the increased traffic congestion and issues such as parking. Re Development site SR-0813 - if the Council are desperate for more housing how on earth are they going to manage the situation of rehousing 24 families whilst they carry out work at this site? More parking not less required. Local shops needed, particularly for our local elderly residents. Development Site SR-0225 - fraught with issues - there is already acute congestion at this location. The infrastructure to replace the 102 parking spaces already allocated in this area would need to be put in place first. It is clear that our own Council who should have inside knowledge on all the areas they serve, are completely dislocated as to the issues facing the local population.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

It would appear that Harlow could perhaps be developed so long as it is designed to be of benefit to existing residents and its character enhanced. I think there are some infrastructure issues here as everywhere else, particularly accessibility by public transport from the surrounding areas. If a new hospital has to be provided, shouldn't this be provided first, bearing in mind it has to serve the rest of us in the South of the district too.

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2165

Name Mrs Jane Forker-Clark  
Forker-Clark

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Local people should receive an individual consultation on this as they will be most affected.

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Yes

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

I think the Council's decision to allow a retail centre in :Langston Road will kill off a lot of small businesses. Small businesses struggle as it is with rents and exhorbitant rates, the influence of Westfield and internet shopping and a massive shortfall in local parking facilities. Smaller, more individual shopping areas usually enhance an area and add to an individuality and community feel.

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

**Buckhurst Hill is already at full capacity. No forethought has been given on how to actually deliver suggested plans. At site SR-0813 the difficulties this will present to the local community are immense. There is a large elderly population in the vicinity who value their local store and launderette. These are valuable resources and probably save the Council money, as senior residents have a meeting point and a reason to go out. The only thing they lack is a freedom tube pass. If the Council is so short of housing where are they going to relocate 24 households for an indeterminate amount of time. What provision will there be for parking - the surrounding streets are already plagued with parking restrictions, commuters and general lack of space. One resident recently completed on the buying of their property in the designated development area is concerned as to what will happen about his property. (probably one of many). SR-0225 - The new parking needs to be in place before anything else is done. No parking - no building. Ridiculous amount of households to cram into such a small space. SR-0176 - contravenes existing Green belt policy.**

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

**We need cast iron guarantees that infrastructure would be in place first.**

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

**Surely the Council needs to take responsibility for these appraisals themselves. As with other local issues - we are paying huge sums of money for independent advice, which often doesn't even begin to assess the true nature of the beast.**

---

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?