

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2177 Name Simon Bees

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The large amount of time and effort spent exploring the issues and communicating with neighbouring authorities has resulted in what appears to be a robust plan which I anticipate will stand up to scrutiny along the path to adoption.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

This approach enables necessary infrastructure to be focussed and delivered more effectively

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Development near to strategic employment area to minimise travel.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2177

Name Simon

Bees

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Proximity to rail capacity improvements terminating at Broxbourne 4 tracking and crossrail 2. Options for increased capacity Harlow to Broxbourne limited to longer trains/enhanced signalling. Additional services to/from Roydon would be detrimental to London-Stansted / Cambridge services

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Additional traffic associated with new housing at Harlow West [Katharines/Summers] will need to be managed to avoid the historic nature of the rural road network being lost to `improvement` schemes. EFDC should encourage the additional road crossing of the river Stort in the Harlow North plan to be brought forward to within that plan.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Please identify and relocate this comment to the appropriate section. In view of EFDC contribution to greater Harlow expansion at West Katharines and Summers and agreement for Roydon Parish residents to use Harlow sports facilities at the same rates as Harlow residents should be sought. This may encourage Roydon residents to sustain a more active lifestyle.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

P9 / SR-0035

It is understood that permission has already been granted for 4 detached homes instead of 6 affordable homes indicated in the plan. Parish Council objection overuled..

P9 omission

Whilst land at Temple Farm has been removed from the plan is understood that land agents continue to pursue this as an area for development. Concerns to be on records are: Negative Impact on landscape views across the Stort Valley. Excacerbation of `dangerous` parking at the village shop Access route to High Street, further traffic joining queues at level crossing.; Increasing number of people living in close proximity on the prevailing wind direction of municipal waste incinerator for Hertfordshire and under Stansted flight-path. Proximity to raydon action level zone as indicated in Harlow strategic plan.
