

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2231	Name	David	Stock	na
----------------	------	------	-------	-------	----

Method	Survey
--------	--------

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

who is this plan for ,it certainly does not seem to be for the local existing community or for my children and grandchildren We already suffer with regard to lack infrastructure in this arear ,and this document does show how you propose to improve it to meet your needs nor even bringing up to a decent standard for the existing community how do you expect to fund this building increase within this area when you cannot fund it properly now?

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

at seems to me that this plan is trying to dissolve villages and make one big blot on the landscape covering nazing a making the area Harlow is a game of connect the dots and just remove villages if there has to be expansion HARLOW the capacity and infrastructure to take it on ,for some reason they want to anyway there are enough brownfield sites around, but that takes more money and work doesn't it and that brings us back to where is the money coming from? much easier to destroy our greenbelt for future generations we have already lost more bus services in the last few weeks, more cars required more fumes ,roads clogged nazing now is temporary traffic lights land is that what you want?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2231	Name	David	Stock
----------------	------	------	-------	-------

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

why are you even proposing building on greenbelt, its unnecessary nobody in their right mind would want this other than to save money and make life easier for planners Is this even within the scope o the document !

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

SITES SR-0580and 0151 are smack in the middle of Nazing we already have enough heavy duty lorries using the small lanes in this area the restricted weight bridge at broxbourne station is constantly being used by overweight lorries to access the A10 These expanded work sites already cause chaos with workers walking 20 /30 at a time making there way along work too and from broxbourne station are you proposing they could ever afford to live here most of the workers come up from London their are and never will be many jobs for locals so in essence this proposal Is looking to increase traffic density provide work for people outside the area introduce no infrastructure to resource and maintain but make a few company owners better off sounds like a plan doesn't it, and the benefit to Nazing and its residence is what ! hmm none ,but more fumes traffic congestion

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

at the risk of repeating the above no infrastructure we cannot cope now ,and you cannot provide decent infrastructure now and now you want to use greenbelt land without even looking at brownfield sites if defies belief school places are already full ,are you expanding the schools another bus service went last week ,no chemist , I am tempted to say see previous questions Do I really need to explain this all again this isn't a plan

you have put forward is it, its a way out o a conundrum you have to resolve it has to be said not much effort has been put into this plan has it ,but its an easy way out at the expense of our way of life

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

"infrastructure" "infrastructure""infrastructure" can you supply can you maintain ? you don't now why would we believe you could in the future ,so where are the funds coming from so why do you think yo can now? Again at a risk of boring you ,which this survey has by it repeated requests to questions which are covered by previous 1-6 all the above

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

why are you even entertaining building on green belt you don't need too ,is it because its cheaper than brownfield sites or do you just wish to change our landscape forever

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?