



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2453	Name	Laura	Willavoys	
Me	thod	Survey				
Dat	e					
		elements of th	ie full response suc	ch as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the d images may not appear accurately g Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingfo	y. Should you wish to review
Sui	vey Respor	nse:				
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?					
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	in your choi	ce in Question 1:			
	that be for heavy, to acproblem. The	dog walking, dd additiona ne beauty of	play or any oth I homes without Loughton is tha	er recreational improvement t t it is set among	o the health and wellbeing of t activity. The traffic throughor the infrastructure will only so Epping forest and therefore be without eroding the green spa	ut Loughton is already erve to increase this enefits from the mix of
2.	Strongly dis	agree		the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District	?
	•	•	ce in Question 2:			
					e homes into an already busy t such as schools, doctors etc.	own, which will put
3.	Do you agree Strongly dis	•	oposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?	
	Please expla	in your choi	ce in Question 3:			

Stakeholder ID 2453 Name Laura Willavoys

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

1





Ye Bu Ye	ckhurst Hill? s ughton Broadway? s ipping Ongar?					
Bu Y e	ckhurst Hill? s ughton Broadway? s ipping Ongar?					
Ye	s ughton Broadway? s ipping Ongar? s					
	ughton Broadway? s ipping Ongar?					
	s ipping Ongar? s					
Lo	ipping Ongar?					
Ye	s					
Ch						
Ye	ughton High Road?					
Lo	ag. No. 1					
Ye	s					
Wa	Waltham Abbey?					
Ye	s					
Pl€	ease explain your choice in Question 4:					
ho	gree with the proposals as I feel this will generate jobs and a reason for people to stay local to shop, wever, these developments need to be supported by the proper infrastructure to ensure these areas do not come a car park.					
	you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	rongly disagree					
PI€	ease explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2453 Name Laura Willavoys





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

The proposed development areas in Loughton are already busy areas and I do not feel there is potential for additional housing to be accommodated.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2453 Name Laura Willavoys





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I do not agree with the overall plan, however, if it is to go ahead, there has to be the support services and infrastructure in place to support.

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2453 Name Laura Willavoys