

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2478 Name Nichola Lund

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I don't believe we should release green belt. This can only set a precedent for future use.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2478

Name Nichola

Lund

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

The Broadway development should definitely look to encourage some larger stores to maximise potential, and to also provide a buffer against all shopping taking place at the envisaged retail park at Langston road. It is a concern, however, that some bus services that ran to Debden Broadway and could have been extended to the retail park to encourage shoppers to use both, it being too far to walk between the two, have already had funding cut by Essex county council and will cease. It is disingenuous to not take into account policy by the county council on which the district council depends to fulfil its local plan. I would be unhappy to see a later response from the district council as to failure to provide transport links between these areas Andrew the rest of Loughton being explained as a problem with the county council when this is already known to be the case at the planning stage. This should now be accounted for in the current local plan.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

The open spaces within the Debden area are much needed and much used. Jessel green and Westall road provide areas of recreation close to schools and homes without having to walk easily half an hour plus to get to other similar sites. They break up a very large area that would otherwise be endless housing, contributing to the wellbeing of the area. They are used for recreation by all generations and are used socially by the

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

community at events which engender a neighbourhood ethic. The council vision should include these sites as protected green areas on grounds of environment, mental health, physical health, social cohesion and local aesthetic. The proposed development of the library site does not include replacing library services which are a valuable resource particularly to the high population of careers of young children. The Borders Lane site, on the whole, is a good sit for development but would be better if a green space could be left within that zone. This otherwise makes for a large area of urban sprawl without relief. The idea to build above Brown sites where there are car parks at stations is a good one. However, the car parks are already at capacity, so the potential for many residents to also have a car could make parking on or near to these sites a problem that has to be considered in the planning of them. I find the plan to build on the rthe source centre at Torrington drive

confusing as I understood that the children's centres were to be reduced to a central hub here, along with other essential family services. There does not seem to be any mention of these services being relocated.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It's too vague. None of the proposed developments are large enough to require new schools or health provision in their own right. While the county council can make charges on developers to contribute to increasing the provision, there is no clear train as to how this will be provided or in a timely manner. Moreover, I feel that the services should already be being considered at the point that plans are being agreed in time for the influx of new users, and rejected if that infrastructure cannot, in fact, be provided in time.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?