

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2532 Name Sharon Kirby

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The plans appear to be reducing the benefits of the area that we invested our time, life and money in. More homes, more people, more cars, less parking and a limited transport can only remove the benefits of the area people had chosen to live in. Massive improvement of roads ultimately imperative. Our Policing has been greatly affected already, and most importantly many break ins and other crimes are receiving The minimal attention. The Fire Station is already stretched also. As for building on car parks, where will people park? The forest land is generally vital for all our wellbeing, helping to deal with the massive amount of pollution from the roads. Less forest, more roads? Not to mention the strain on the train stations, which are basically unmanned more of the time, what will happen when there are the inevitable problems caused by overcrowding, with no one there to assist?

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Exactly as previously stated.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2532

Name sharon

Kirby

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow is already a large town experiencing many of the issues mentioned above.

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

In areas where we have indicated no, it is because of the massive road changes to accommodate the driving visitors. Lack of CAR PARKING AREAS for extra traffic

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Are there already businesses waiting for these places, what type of businesses are they, would they be bringing even more lorries / distribution vehicles? Are we going to widen every road, and pay to pull down houses in order to do so. Will those owners/tenants get a fair recompense for that to happen?

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

All who have chosen to live in this location, in our opinion was solely to experience a 'country life' within a relatively rural area.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?