

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2533 Name David Biggs

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Our quality of life is currently being slowly eroded by too many people living in too little space.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The green belt is sacred and must not be breached under any circumstances. Use brownfield sites and make greedy developers stop land banking.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Please see Q 1 above, we are already overcrowded, roads grid locked more housing will merely make the problem worse.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2533

Name David

Biggs

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

It is now almost impossible to park in any of the above towns. Developing the retail uses is useless when customers have no where to park and therefore cannot use the shops.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Expanding existing businesses is rubbish. This will involve new employees entering this already overcrowded and polluted area. We have no more room for expansion we need to consolidate. Soon it will be quicker to walk than get in a car.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

The area is already over developed and overcrowded. We have no more room. You are merely polluting and ruining the lives of existing residents

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

The area is already over developed and overcrowded. We have no more room. You are merely polluting and ruining the lives of existing residents

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

The area is already over developed and overcrowded. We have no more room. You are merely polluting and ruining the lives of existing residents

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

The area is already over developed and overcrowded. We have no more room. You are merely polluting and ruining the lives of existing residents

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

The area is already over developed and overcrowded. We have no more room. You are merely polluting and ruining the lives of existing residents

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

The area is already over developed and overcrowded. We have no more room. You are merely polluting and ruining the lives of existing residents

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

The area is already over developed and overcrowded. We have no more room. You are merely polluting and ruining the lives of existing residents. This is meant to be a village why spoil it.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

The area is already over developed and overcrowded. We have no more room. You are merely polluting and ruining the lives of existing residents

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

The area is already over developed and overcrowded. We have no more room. You are merely polluting and ruining the lives of existing residents

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The area is already over developed and overcrowded. We have no more room. You are merely polluting and ruining the lives of existing residents. Stop any more development and concentrate on not spoiling what we already have.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

In Theydon Bois the transport links are already overrun and in rush hour the underground is unbearable. The underground cannot cope with further numbers. Developing the car park will merely exacerbate this problem adding to more road congestion, pollution and loss of green belt.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)