

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2548 Name Margaret SINFIELD

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I strongly agree that the local Green Belt and environment should be protected. I also agree that it is important that there should be sufficient infrastructure to support the increase in population if new houses are built anywhere in the district.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I strongly object to the proposals to build on Green Belt land and on the Amenity Open Spaces in Debden.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

This proposal will overdevelop an already overdeveloped area.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2548

Name Margaret

SINFIELD

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

I strongly disagree with the policy to build over the station car parks, what will happen to the commuters and the shoppers while the building is taking place?

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

I strongly disagree with the proposal to build on some of the Amenity Open Spaces in Debden. Debden is a special 'garden city', one of the main features of which is the lovely green open areas between the houses. The whole of the ethos of Debden will be ruined by building on these green areas.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

I strongly disagree with the proposal to build on Green Belt land at Powell Road. Two applications have already been turned down by the District Council, the last application was refused by the Planning Inspectorate at Bristol. This is Green Belt land. I strongly disagree with the proposal to build high flats on the car park in Queens Road, thus ruining the view across to Chigwell and beyond. I strongly disagree with the proposal to pull down the launderette and the three adjacent shops at the other side of the station in Lower Queens Road, particularly in order to increase the number of dwellings by such a small number. Those are the four most useful shops in the whole of Queens Road.

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I strongly disagree as there appears to be no infrastructure for the proposed building in Buckhurst Hill. The local schools are greatly oversubscribed and the Central Line runs to nearly full capacity most of the time. Buckhurst Hill is already the most densely populated area in Epping Forest District.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

What ever is that you have dreamt up?

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

I strongly disagree with building on the precious Green Belt. In relation to Buckhurst Hill, Buckhurst Hill is the most populated area in Epping Forest District and cannot take any more dwellings.
