

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2576 Name Susan Stride

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Draft local plan does not fit with the vision requirements of proportionate building across the district or maintaining the village status for north weald

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The infrastructure to support such building especially around Harlow and north weald is at breaking point now - needs urgent solutions before any further building can take place

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Building to the south of Harlow will damage the historical site of latton priory and that major infrastructure work would need to be undertaken to protect well being of current population and enable them to continue their daily lives. Building should take place to the north of Harlow given that there are proposals for junction 7a on m11 & would be within the two proposed new location for princess alexandra hospital. This would also fit with the expansion of the Cambridge corridor

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2576

Name Susan

Stride

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Should take their proportion of development required across the district

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Changing the status of the airfield which is an historical site would be detrimental to the district as a whole and to north weald in particular

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Will give rise to aggregated risk as all building will feed into areas across the district . Unacceptable approach on infrastructure. Without proper road communication district will become choked.proposals against natural justice

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Will give rise to aggregated risk as all building will feed into areas across the district . Unacceptable approach on infrastructure. Without proper road communication district will become choked.proposals against natural justice

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Will give rise to aggregated risk as all building will feed into areas across the district . Unacceptable approach on infrastructure. Without proper road communication district will become choked.proposals against natural justice

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Will give rise to aggregated risk as all building will feed into areas across the district . Unacceptable approach on infrastructure. Without proper road communication district will become choked.proposals against natural justice

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

Will give rise to aggregated risk as all building will feed into areas across the district . Unacceptable approach on infrastructure. Without proper road communication district will become choked.proposals against natural justice

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Will give rise to aggregated risk as all building will feed into areas across the district . Unacceptable approach on infrastructure. Without proper road communication district will become choked.proposals against natural justice. Detrimental to wellbeing of north weald and surrounding villages

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Will give rise to aggregated risk as all building will feed into areas across the district . Unacceptable approach on infrastructure. Without proper road communication district will become choked.proposals against natural justice

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Will give rise to aggregated risk as all building will feed into areas across the district . Unacceptable approach on infrastructure. Without proper road communication district will become choked.proposals against natural justice

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Will give rise to aggregated risk as all building will feed into areas across the district . Unacceptable approach on infrastructure. Without proper road communication district will become choked.proposals against natural justice

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Will give rise to aggregated risk as all building will feed into areas across the district . Unacceptable approach on infrastructure. Without proper road communication district will become choked.proposals against natural justice

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Will give rise to aggregated risk as all building will feed into areas across the district . Unacceptable approach on infrastructure. Without proper road communication district will become choked.proposals against natural justice

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Will give rise to aggregated risk as all building will feed into areas across the district . Unacceptable approach on infrastructure. Without proper road communication district will become choked.proposals against natural justice

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Draft infrastructure delivery plan does not provide a clear strategic approach - it follows the same flawed approach of piecemeal developers which puts the structure of sites especially north weald in the hands of developers. District council have not learned lessons from the past and need to demonstrate clearly what their infrastructure requirements will be for each site especially north weald village where the housing proposals would change the village beyond recognition

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

None

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Pages 150 & 153

Oppose the location of the travellers site at bluemans in village of north weald Oppose the resignation of green belt land in north weald tempest mead/dukes close pages 151 Oppose building of 2760 houses in parish of north weald and specifically the building of 1580 new homes in the village centre pages 150/151/152/153
