

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2606 Name Philip Barker

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow is the main town and therefore it makes sense for such development in this area.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2606

Name Philip

Barker

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Sensible to focus such development in existing area

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

More use could be made of sites currently identified/ used for employment

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

SR0153 overdevelopment of site and problems re access SR0229;0348;0349 loss of car parking spaces which will exacerbate existing problems which currently mean that residential areas become car parks for commuters. A common parking policy needs to be adopted for whole of Epping including residents only; time restrictions; policing of inappropriate parking on pavements restricting access for pushchairs, disability scooters and emergency vehicles, refuse collection eg Brook Road between Station Road and Ivy Chimneys, Crows Road **Redacted...** where up to 10 cars can be parked half on pavement on both sides of the road ie 20 cars in total..

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

More detail required as to how infrastructure will relate to each proposal. Please refer to Q6 and issues about car parking/ traffic problems. /access to sites and knock on affect on other areas eg current traffic congestion Forest Gate/ Bell Motel junction. More detail required about CIL and how these will be applied particularly as sites will be developed at different times and there is a need for an overall strategy to consider affects of each proposal within the main plan.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Welcome this and am surprised it has not formed a crucial part of existing plan.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?