

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2766 Name Frederick Sewell

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Does not appear to reflect the residents' vision. We must protect and preserve our green belt.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The growth of Harlow into OUR green belt is a threat to the village future. There is NO justification in using ANY green belt - particularly in Nazeing - when old nurseries and already developed (eg brownfield) sites are available.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

The development is on green belt and brings Harlow closer to the village.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2766

Name Frederick

Sewell

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

The proposals for Nazeing would add additional traffic, some of this would be on roads which were built for and are still more suitable for horses and carts. Employment development and industry should be concentrated where the traffic and service infrastructure can cope.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

The sites are GREEN BELT. The sites to the south by St Leonard's Road would have a severe impact on traffic in the village and the infrastructure of that part of the village cannot handle that kind of growth. One of the main problems in the village is at the traffic lights, a large majority of people in the village travel west to the station or onwards to Broxbourne, Hoddesdon and the A10. Any significant housing development should be located to the west and it seems that many suitable sites have been ignored. It would surely be more appropriate to build on the land along Nazeing Road particularly by Paynes Lane and Nursery Road. Sites SR-0583 and SR-0298 are eyesores and highly suitable for development, these two plots actually divide the West

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

part of Lower Nazeing into two. SR-0298 would open up improved access for SR-0160 and the whole area parallel to North Street.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It is not clear what infrastructure improvements are planned/intended, the Nazeing infrastructure cannot cope with existing properties, there are severe problems with many services e.g. flooding, drainage, sewerage, power etc. Any development requires a significant investment and improvement before any development takes place. I have been told that the Plan somewhere includes a statement about the lack of congestion in the sites area, it is not what I see with my own eyes. There are queues of traffic on St Leonards Road towards the traffic lights extending beyond Tatsfield Avenue mainly at peak times even when there is no problem on the M25.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Could not find it!

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

It is too complicated, the documents are too big and I could not find a questionnaire so I don't know how many of my friends have gone on who don't have access to a computer or questionnaire.
