

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2779 Name Christina Howell

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

This is a nice statement however the building of the properties on green spaces will only increase overcrowding pollution traffic congestion on the proposal or not improve quality-of-life They will have the opposite affect. Squeezing more and more homes into the small towns will not improve job opportunities all the local economy there will become more and more unemployment only exaggerating the anti social behaviour currently affecting area like Debden.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The main areas chosen for development seem to be in the Paul locations development in these areas will strongly affects the local residents people that need these open space is the most often due to overcrowding in their homes and often no garden space, they have no access to home Gyms and often cannot afford to join the local ones The greens provide residence with opportunities to exercise and improve their mental health.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow town currently suffers with traffic congestion again too many people being concentrated in one area.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2779 Name Christina Howell

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

This sounds in the council looking to build huge building, tower blocks, office blocks to sell off our precious land at the cheapest price to make the most profit.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Selected for development are a vital amenity to all residents young and all they provide an open space but I need to improve physical health that's mental health and also they provide many with a place that they can

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

socialise meeting other residents this improves the local sense of community which helps prevent anti social behaviour when people now each other, they support each other.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The councils has constantly shown short sightedness when thinking about schools so many schools have closed and been sold off for housing it's hard to trust that they have it right this time the out side space of many schools has been reduced as sold for housing, making our community greens even more of a necessity.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?