

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2797 Name Bradley Worboys

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

By planning to build more than 7500 homes within Epping Forest which will bring more traffic, more pollution, more population, less school places, less leisure facilities, less green space then this is not supporting the local community

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

With the numbers that you are planning within Ongar it is a 28% increase against around a 20% increase with over areas of Epping Forest and this would rip the hart of Ongar. You should look to areas which will not effect us towns and to look to build a new town within the green areas

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2797

Name Bradley

Worboys

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Yes

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

You need to keep the local shops open and rents low with easy and cheap parking areas around these. Without these all these areas are not towns

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Within Ongar you have given permission to build 120 homes in Fyfield on the Fyfield business centre and closing most of the businesses within this site

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

As already explained with around 2500 homes in Ongar and you looking to build around 700 this will be a 28% increase which is far to much for the space, current facilities and instructor and would over night remove the history and character as we know it for Ongar

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

For the same reasons above. These are know for small local green towns and you are trying to change this

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

My wife and I moved to Ongar a year ago as we lived in South Woodford and wanted a family home which would service us for the rest of our life in an area which had good choices of schools and nurseries, nice quieter area with green space with not to much traffic and a local gym we can walk to. We have recently had a first baby and we have found out that the nursery called Zinc we are going to take him to that they are looking to build flats on there which will make the car park even smaller which it already has limited spaces and that all the schools in the area and there is a few, are all full up already with local parents that can not get there kids in to these schools. With your plans to build 700 new homes this will make it impossible to get our child in to a local school the time that they are built. Also we have had to use the doctors more than usual lately because of our baby and it is very hard to get an appointment within a few days and even to get through to them and this is new surgery so once again this is going to be even more over subscribed. As mentioned it was important to us to have a local gym which we all use regular and you are planning in SR0848 to remove the gym to build on and I have also learnt that you are also planning to do this at the Epping Gym which I also use each week and to build a new gym in the centre of Epping Forest somewhere. I can not believe this has even got to this stage as health for people is at such an importance for government and individuals that you should be encouraging more leisure centres. Traffic within Epping Forest is so high all over and to get to town to town takes such a long time and this was the case when we leaved in South Woodford and by moving to Ongar we thought this wouldn't be the case as I can get to my work in Buckhurst Hill the same time than what it took when in South Woodford. Within Ongar tariff is a problem already as it is a through road for the A414, M11, M25 and getting through the high road in rush hour can take 15 minutes and so does coming up the A414 from Harlow to the Four Wantz where we leave it goes back past the Vogan indian restaurant and takes again 15 mins. With these 700 homes and the other homes which have already been agreed with more than 500 homes including the Fyfield site you are looking to build on and very close to the Four Wantz this is going to be a no go area and you need to look at not build as many homes within this area. Also the public transport is nearly non excitant. No trains and a bus from Ongar that doesn't run often takes 45 minutes to get to Epping. You have stated that 40% of the homes will be key workers / affordable homes so the majority of these people will rely on public transport and with no plans to expand Epping station car park then how are we all going to get around without using our cars which will make more traffic

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

SR00671

If the number of homes get the green light within SR00671, SR0120 and around the Four Wantz then we would be looking to move from our family home we thought would be forever as for all the main reasons of moving here will be removed because of these plans. Ongar is know for a town with a village feel and character and this will go instantly and will not be able to get back if these plans are given the green light. 28% increase in Ongar is far to high

SR0120

If the number of homes get the green light within SR00671, SR0120 and around the Four Wantz then we would be looking to move from our family home we thought would be forever as for all the main reasons of moving here will be removed because of these plans. Ongar is know for a town with a village feel and character and this will go instantly and will not be able to get back if these plans are given the green light. 28% increase in Ongar is far to high
