

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2864 Name Debbie SMITH

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The development shows some areas such as North Weald taking the brunt of the development. I feel that this should be shared more equally across the district.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

As above feel that Epping and North Weald are being suggested as the main sites for development. This will change the character of these settlements completely. Please see attachment for further details.

http://eppingforest.consultationonline.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/sites/5/gravity_forms/3-fce9873862dde780a40e3cbe24771a88/2016/12/Q21.docx

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

It might be a good idea to have development in Harlow but I doubt whether the roads could cope with the increased traffic this would bring.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2864 Name Debbie SMITH

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

It seems a waste of time to protect and encourage a shopping area in Epping. The High Road is so congested it takes longer to drive along it than it does to shop. Many people now shop on line to avoid situations such as this.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I am unsure why North Weald Airfield has been designated a site for new employment development. If it had been made clear what this might be I could be in favour. I feel the Council has been deliberately vague about this.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

I believe the sites in North Weald are completely unsuitable and attach a document to support this view.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

http://eppingforest.consultationonline.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/sites/5/gravity_forms/3-fce9873862dde780a40e3cbe24771a88/2016/12/Q61.docx

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I was told at one of the consultation meetings that there was no provision for infrastructure. The plan suggests that developers will contribute to infrastructure but I suspect that this will be minimal and facilities such as GP surgeries will be more inadequate than they are now.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

SP 5 3.93

The above paragraph states that only 1.5% of Green Belt land will be lost. However, this is still too much and again much of it will be lost in North Weald. A further document is attached to support my view on this.
