

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2909	Name	Paul	Mc Manus	Mr
Method	Survey				
Date					

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I am concerned at the lack of detail on things such as infrastructure and how its going to be funded-the plan has some good detail but its lacking.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I am concerned that the level of traffic is already stressed and cause even more time loss/pollution and that the biodiversity and silviculture will be damaged beyond repair.The plan needs to reflect the real threat to the environment,which it fails to do.The plan needs to reconsider the use of the Brownfield sites or look towards Harlow,where the Council seem receptive to expansion.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

As fvar as I am aware,the developments in Harlow are on Green Belt sites of which I disagree with,Green belt land ought to be left alone.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2909	Name	Paul	Mc Manus
----------------	------	------	------	----------

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Not enough detail given in report as stated in question,also HGV is already a concern on the small country lanes(and around Nazeing as a whole)...the noise,and size presents a real risk to pedestrians/cyclists/joggers/horse or pony rides.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

The proposed sites SR 0011/0300/0473 are Green belt and should be left alone, Green belt land should be allowed to support its own culture ie bio culture. I object to the negative impact that will follow (and indeed

happen during the proposed build) on pollution, traffic jam, delay in getting to work, pressure on GP surgery, school places, local parking, flooding risk due to loss of land.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I am not convinced that 220 homes need to destroy the Green belt, Brownfield sites, use of vacant properties need to be given higher priority. I am concerned that the need created on sewerage, drainage have not been fully considered - it is very concerning that on one hand the Council encourages us to be "Greener" and on the other it would consider destroying that which it seeks to protect.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Please don't build on Green belt land, re-prioritise the use of Brownfield land and re-focus on any existing vacant properties in the area. The proposed development report shows a bias for Green Belt development or should I say destruction and is contrary to National Green Belt Policy Framework and the global concern about the protection of our environment.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

No comment
