



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2915	Name	Colin	Page		
Method	Survey					
Date						
	elements of the	e full response suc	ch as formatting a		the Draft Local Plan Consultation ately. Should you wish to review ngforestdc.gov.uk	
Survey Respo	onse:					
Do you agre Disagree	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District? Disagree					
Please expl	Please explain your choice in Question 1:					
increase in	I do not believe that the proposed plan considered all of the infrastructure impact caused by the significant increase in the local population., eg education and health considerations, absent from the proposal apart from the possibility of a new Princess Alexandra hospital					
2. Do you agree	ee with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest Dist	rict?	
Please expl	Please explain your choice in Question 2:					
	Some villages, eg Sheering are expected to take a 30% increase in housing, this seems disproportionate considering village infrastructure and in particular the village school already at capacity					
Agree	·	oposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?		
Piease expl	aın your c n oic	e in Question 3:				

This seems a sensible approach along as the necessary local facilities are expanded and enhanced to cope with

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2915 Name Colin Page

the increased population





4.	Do you agree with the proposed snopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2915 Name Colin Page





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2915 Name Colin Page





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

My comments apply to Sheering and Lower Sheering only. I do have a problem with the proposed sites, just the increase, particularly the number of hates proposed adjacent to the M11 as you enter Sheering from Harlow.

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I believe these proposals will not address the infrastructure issues on already hard pressed local health and education services

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2915 Name Colin Page