

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2930 Name nathalie josiah

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

the draft plan is not for the people who live here or for future generations

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I do not agree with the destruction of the green belt, once it is gone it is lost forever. It would be better to increase housing in Harlow where there has been a wish to expand.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I feel that the proposal does not fit in line with the aims of the plan as stated.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2930 Name nathalie josiah

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

the sites SR0580 and SR0151 are in Hoe lane which is not accessible easily to lorries and new sites should have controls on heavy lorries. We do not want any more heavy traffic through the village of Nazeing.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

to proposed sites SR0011, SR0300 (A, B &C) SR-0473 are in grade 1-3 green belt which is unacceptable. EFDC appears to have ignored derelict brownfeild land. I also feel the proposed 220 houses in the local plans along with the 80 under construction would place a heavy load on infrastructure with the local bus service being shut down only only one local primary school and a proposed closure to the local surgery. There is also too

much traffic in the local area already and this could be further hindered by more cars from more home owners.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

the arup assessment is not credible.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

i feel that the local plan does not show the need to build on green belt land, and i feel the impact on the local area, nature and culture would be damaging.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

there appears to be lack of depth in terms of the development of Nazeing in producing the local plan. the ageing population of nazeing means that is questionable as to why hard copy questionnaires were not posted to local residents, there should be a through investigation of previously developed brownfield sites as an alternative.
