



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID Method		2997 Survey	Name	anthony	Banting	
Da	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting and	cil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultati i images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to revie Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Su	ırvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agree	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	s out for Epping Forest District?	
	Agree					
	Please expla	in your choi	ce in Question 1:			
2.	Disagree Please expla The allocati proposed de	in your choicon to Eppingevelopment educed, also	ce in Question 2: g/Northweald is will see unsusta	disproportionate ainable pressure o	s out for Epping Forest District? to current development and the nature of the on health care and parking as population increases ar II have negative health impacts, increase motor traff	
3.	Do you agree	e with the pi	roposals for deve	lopment around H	arlow?	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2997 Name anthony Banting





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	No opinion					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No opinion					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
	Epping needs to retain it leisure center rather a cinema or another supermarket					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	Agree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Banting

Stakeholder ID 2997 Name anthony





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Failure to replicate the current provision of a leisure center, the loss of parking space whilst increasing the population at both North Weald and Epping will put unacceptable pressure on parking, healthcare and leisure services

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Not sure the impact of increased population at Northweald on Epping and its infrastructure has been fully considered in the plan.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2997

Name anthony

Banting





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Do not believe the provision of support infrastructure particularly healthcare, and leisure center provision is adequate for Epping/Northweald also very concerned at loss of car parking spaces in Epping and the impact on parking in the town

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)