

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3021 Name Steven Broomhead

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

**Most of the proposals are on GREEN BELT so the notion of protecting green belt is absolutely false**

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

**All available disused sites and brownfield areas MUST be utilised to increase housing stock and business expansion before ever considering the disstruction of rural green belt land, remember we are a rural community.**

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

**As far as I'm aware the Harlow developments are again on Green belt so as by my previous answers I can't support the destruction of green belt and feel regeneration of existing sites is the way forward.**

---

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3021

Name Steven

Broomhead

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

These proposals are not familiar to me and outside Nazeing Parish and are for the people who live nearer to voice there opinions.

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Hoe lane already has some of the largest lorries travelling up and down from early to late. This once lane was never designed to take this sort of vehicle and as a resident can tell you we regularly have lorries using public footpaths to manuvre past each other as its not wide enough. The wood chip company caught fire for a THIRD time this year leading to air pollution to the residential areas of Nazeing. Noise also needs to be considered and the exisiting businesses closely checked !

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

again other more suitable brownfield land has been ignored over greenbelt land, also increased traffic through our roads, will the already busy cross roads of St leonards/Middle st/ Nazeing new rd/ and North st cope as its already busy.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

**A full review of the towns infrastructure should be assessed to make sure that everything can cope prior to any proposed expansion**

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

**I truly believe that there has been little thought and minimal engagement with the community of Nazeing over these issues, more consideration and thought is required to reach a sensible balance, and not at the expense of our green belt! The rural feel of the village needs to be retained as this is what makes Nazeing an attractive place for people to live. As a village we have already given over much land for everything from Greenhouses to housing to light industrial business making us one of the most developed "villages" already, we simply do not have the capacity for such developments.**

---