

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3054 Name Kenneth dyer

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

We can not have more houses around Nazeing it is already too congested, you never get any parking spaces in the parade of shops, the traffic is already chaotic and cars queue up at times from the crossroad lights, to way past the industrial units and on to the railway bridge. We have to think for future generations as there is no adequate infrastructure now, let alone more houses/people to add to the problems, where are the extra schools, doctors, parking, and other versatilities that would be required and funding for anything has always been a problem.

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Harlow may have some "Brown Field Sites" but why make it more congested you should convince the Government to build a new town completely as they did for Harlow, Stevenage, or even Milton Keynes.

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

It seems from all the detail issued that the house building will be on green belt land ? see above in question 2 for my objections

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3054 Name Kenneth dyer

---

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

**No opinion**

Buckhurst Hill?

**No opinion**

Loughton Broadway?

**No opinion**

Chipping Ongar?

**No opinion**

Loughton High Road?

**No opinion**

Waltham Abbey?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I live in Nazeing and we already have a small industrial site there's no room for any more industry also many people living in Nazeing commute into the city or other industrial areas.

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

**As mentioned above Nazeing does not have the infrastructure for 200 plus houses and it looks like a green field site**

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Nazeing is a village, there are only 4 ways in, and when the Dobbs Ware bridge was being strengthened and temporary lights on the road into Broxbourne it was utter Chaos ! and any infrastructure in the past has been none existent, in the past we have had flooding, drainage problems, weak bridges and you are proposing all those extra houses and possibly up to 500 plus cars in the area. NO Thank You

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

I'm afraid I do not support the Draft Local Plan the residents of Nazeing do not want our village to be swamped by more and more houses & vehicles that would spoil the character, landscape, natural wildlife and it's environment.

---

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

I think I've tried to express my feelings, and I feel the Draft Local Plan should be reconsidered again.

---