

**....Redacted.... Epping Forest District Council
Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016
(Regulation 18)**

Stakeholder ID 3056 Name john Horner

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The proposed sites do not seem to provide adequate parking for the new developments (example: should be 2 spaces per unit). There is a major problem with commuter traffic and parking during peak times, (08.15 - 09.15, and 15.15 - 18.30), in the Buckhurst Hill Station area. The worst area is around Victoria Road, Stradbroke Grove and Palmerston Road. Parking restrictions are inadequate and parking violations are not enforced. There is also a problem with the Blue Badges being abused. Also any parking restrictions need to be 7 days a week because most weekends are congested. The shops must be losing trade. We have inadequate parking, more commuters and instead of homes being built more car parks are needed. Also there is a strain on local services (doctors schools hospitals) it is very difficult to get an appointment within a reasonable time.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The outline areas that are proposed for housing developments will only add to the parking/traffic problem. Epping has adequate parking restrictions. So it means that the extra traffic will drive into Loughton, Buckhurst Hill, Debden and Woodford areas. When West Ham are at home it is particularly bad.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3056

Name john

Horner

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

This seems a good area for housing developments as long as each unit has adequate parking and better rail links and extra schools.

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

Yes

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

We need new homes. There is inadequate parking on new developments. There is an increase in traffic and it is going to get worse. Shopping areas are dying because of lack of parking spaces.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

I'm not against it, but each unit needs 2 x parking spaces. also the shopping centre needs a larger car park. Maybe make the one near Waitrose a multi storey one.

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I strongly believe more schools need to be built. More doctors are needed. We also need another hospital. It would also be a good thing to open up Blake Hall, Ongar and North Weald stations to take pressure off other Central line stations. And traffic WILL come to a standstill with all the extra commuters making their way to nearer stations to London.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?