

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3063 Name anthony Gamble

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Q1. Disagree - Although I have ticked disagree - I do agree with vision of enhancing the quality of life, but the development around Epping (particularly the East and the South sites SR-0153, SR-0113B and SR-0069/33 will have a negative affect on local life. Causing gridlocks, more traffic on extremely small congested roads, as well as putting more pressure on local services (especially the GP and local Epping Schools). A better vision would be to move the housing mentioned above to Harlow sites (3.1 map ref M and L / 3.2 map ref U / 3.3 map ref and 3.4 map ref J) which have a much better planned and existing infrastructure

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Q2. Strongly disagree - There is too much development planned in the South and East of Epping. These areas have some of the worst congestion, parking problems and commuter problems in the area (in order of the worst sites: Brook Road SR-00113B, followed by Stewards Green Road SR-0153 and Ivy Chimneys SR-0069/33 - Map on page 122, Figure 5.4, 'Site Allocation for Epping'). A much better location would be several of the larger proposed Harlow sites (Sites: 3.1 Layton Priory and Riddings Lane, map ref M and L. Site: 3.2 West Sumners, map ref U. Site: 3.3 West Katherines, map ref R. Site: 3.4 East of Harlow, map ref J - Map on page 44, figure 37, 'Proposed Strategic Allocation in and around Harlow'). Harlow has a much better current and planned infrastructure. Also the planned spar onto the M11 will help keep traffic moving. It is much better to move the East/South suggested Epping housing developments (SR-0153, SR-00113B and SR-0069/33) to those

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3063

Name anthony

Gamble

areas within Harlow mentioned above (3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4). Purely based on Harlow having much better local services, better parking, better roads, better infrastructure and better use of space.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Q3. Agree - I agree with the Harlow development because infrastructure and services are much better and there is more space to create additional infrastructure and services. However, there is room for additional housing on top of the current plan. Harlow planned sites 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 could take the housing from Brook Road Epping (SR-0113B), Stewards Green Road (SR-0153) and Ivy Chimneys (SR-0069/33). Epping's infrastructure, parking and services within the South and East can't cope with the extra people/traffic. Whereas Harlow could cope with these extra houses.

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Q4. I agree that Epping's high street retail frontage needs to be protected and that it should stay within the existing boundary. Any further development would cause additional traffic into the town centre. Which is already heavily congested and is hard to park.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Q5. Disagree - The only site I disagree with intensifying and extending is the Bower Hill Industrial Estate (EMP-0013). The bridge over the Tube Line linking Station Road to Bower Hill has an extremely tight corner, and lorries regularly cut this corner (driving on the wrong side of the road because the vehicles are too big). This is exceptional dangerous considering it is a main commuter route and heavy with residential traffic

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Q6. I don't agree with the proposal for Epping. Mainly within the East and South. The sites at Brook Road SR-0113B, Ivy Chimneys SR-0069/33 and Stewards Green Road SR-0153 are of particular concern. Existing roads can't take the current amount of traffic. They are often gridlocked, commuters park and block the roads, making it difficult for Emergency vehicles to get through and means local residence can't park near their houses. Brook Road also has a history of flooding. The three sites I've mentioned are totally inappropriate and will have a massive detrimental effect on the local community. On top of this, our GP's and schools can't currently cope with the existing Epping population, let allown a proposed increase. A much better location for

these houses would be Harlow. Especially sites 3.1 Layton Priory and Riddings Lane (map ref M and L), 3.2 West Summers (map ref U), 3.3 West Katherines (map ref R) and 3.4 East of Harlow (map ref J)

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Q7. Not enough is being done within Epping. Also unlike Harlow, Epping doesn't have the space for large scale infrastructure development

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Draft Policy P 1 Epping

SR-0113B (Brook Road), SR-0153 (North of Stewards Green Road), SR-0069/33) have too many houses for the local area and infrastructure. As mentioned no parking and gridlocked/dangerous roads will massively affect the quality of the local area and peoples lives. Please see my other answers within your questions for a full description. Moving these houses to Harlow which is built for higher volumes of people is the better option (sites 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4)
