

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3067 Name julie Mountain

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The plans are not for local people and can be altered once planning permission has been given. Not showing how the local area will be helped with the increase in traffic, population, where is the extra funding coming from for residents in the area.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Green Belt land is not meant for building on. it is public land to keep as countryside. Other areas in Harlow can be used for development.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

We are Green Belt and have enough housing for the residence of Nazeing. The plans that have been submitted are not true to the aims which are proposed for Nazeing.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3067

Name julie

Mountain

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Most of the employment in Nazeing is NOT for the local residents most of the work force is employees from outside the area. More traffic will come to the village, more lorries, which the village struggles with already. Dobbs Weir bridge has just been re-done but is still not for HGV vehicle's however, they often use the bridge and extra lorries are definitely not welcome. Our village is not built for this kind of traffic.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Site is to be on Green Belt land. More traffic to the area, no extra help for the village infrastructure, flooding, rainwater run off, sewers schools public transport which is very poor

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Before anything is moved forward assessments should be undertaken on how the infrastructure is going to be upgraded, the sewerage, drainage, flooding prevention and water supply. How will all these new people in the village be accommodated with local schools, Doctors, etc. congestion on the roads, road safety.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Why are we having to build on Green Belt Land, there must be other areas more suitable. what about the wild life, the land that is currently used to produce food. Why in our village, the area has enough residents and don't need anymore traffic and disruption.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

No good public transport in the village therefore residents will use there cars, more traffic, bad road safety, horrendous journeys not enough school places, more travelling. Green Belt is for everyone to enjoy is given to all to enjoy and not to be developed.
