

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3078 Name Keith motion

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

...Redacted... ...Redacted... with no parking restrictions in my road and ...Redacted... ...Redacted... the borders lane field where you propose to build 304 homes. If you develop these sites how will that enhance my life parking during the week is already a nightmare and it will only get worse if you go ahead with your plans with increased need for parking with the houses ...Redacted... and even more commuters coming ...Redacted... if you develop Debden stn carpark.

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I think that Debden is bearing the brunt of your plans and that makes it disproportionate to other areas and I emphasise Debden not Loughton as a whole.

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

does not affect me.

---

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3078 Name Keith motion

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I am **...Redacted...** so it doesn't matter to me

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

you say Loughton but the bulk of your plans are for Debden you are planning on building on every green open space available and it will change the character of Debden forever and we were specifically told by yourselves when we moved to the area that housing could not be built on borders lane playing field and I have used this field ever since I moved here to walk my dog daily.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

when I moved to this area we specifically asked the council whether or not the borders lane playing fields could be developed for housing and we were told by yourselves that no housing could not be put on that field as covenants were in place forbidding this and if it was developed it would be for educational purposes. How does this fit in with your infrastructure plans for a new school if you have built houses on the land a school was intended for and at the moment I wait on average 3 weeks for an appointment to see MY doctor how long will it be with all the extra people in the area, how does this enhance my living experience in the area.

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?