

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3079 Name Catherine Hamilton

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I do not feel this will enhance any aspects of life within EFD. What infrastructure has been identified? North Weald has over 2.700 houses proposed - with not Drs or Extra Schools or transport systems shown. In fact North Weald will lose it's cultural identity as a village as it will just become a mass housing development with no local jobs or transport.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

In North Weald 'buffer zones' will be irradiated historical areas like Tylers Green and North Weald Bassett will become one mass housing development. Boundary footpaths will be lost and just become rat-runs between houses. Valuable Farming land (G2) will be lost - land is needed to produce food for an every increasing population - or will we just import all food in the future?

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow already needs better transport infrastructures now! What will happen with even more housing?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3079

Name Catherine

Hamilton

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Do we really need more shops and food outlets? All you are doing is providing low level Jobs in retail /food. The long term effect of this is more waste - be it litter, fly tipping of sofas etc, or just a world full of unwanted 'stuff' We need to be considering ways to cut consumerism not encourage it.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

As previously answered most Jobs would appear to be low level service sector jobs - retail and food.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

No. Complete lack of infrastructure all round. For example- Traffic - on 16th November 2016, an incident (relatively minor) on the M25 caused the whole of North Weald and surrounding area to become gridlocked for hours- what would happen with even more housing? Drs - On the 1st December 2016, I attempted to get a drs

appointment - I was offered one in January 2017!! Green belt - will there actually be any left? Tylers Green will become one mass along with North Weald.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I couldn't actually see any improvement of infrastructure for North Weald.

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Losing valuable farming land - we need land to farm and feed people. We also need to ensure air quality remains at safe levels for all people. Parking- what are the plans for parking in all these proposals - like it or not cars are here to stay. House need parking spaces for the amount of people that live in them so at least 2+ for each dwelling.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?