

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3110 Name Joanne Driver

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

My choices is based on the impact on the amount of agricultural land lost, the detrimental effect on the environment with regard to air quality, and also how wildlife will be effected. My other concern is how access to these new developments will be possible and how the increase of traffic will effect accessing other local towns such as Epping Ongar and Harlow. Will the local emergency services be able to cope with an increase of population. Where will commuters park in Epping to access transport by tube to London?

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Green belt land should be maintained, otherwise it will eventually disappear entirely if small areas are continuously released.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I travel through Harlow every day, at present traffic congestion is already a problem. Having cars idling whilst stuck in traffic is already causing air pollution. The local shopping area in town appears to struggle to attract businesses with empty retail units, and having lost the larger retails e.g. Marks & Spencer's there seems to be

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3110

Name Joanne

Driver

a copious amount of discount stores and coffee shops. How can the building of more shops guarantee that news businesses will survive.

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Epping will loose its identity and become just another shopping town. I believe that Epping's Heritage should be preserved. Access into and out of Epping is limited and may become a problem if traffic increases.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I cannot understand how allocating employment sites is going to increase jobs. Jobs are surely only created when business are successful and expanding.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Our villages need to be preserved for future generations.. if houses and other developments continue all of the towns and villages will merge into one. Our green fields and forest lost for ever.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I agree that more provision needs to be made, however at present our health services appear to be struggling due to finance, if there is money to be spent on new GP's and Hospitals why can't this be utilised now to improve these facilities now.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?