

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3112      Name Josephine Flynn

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

**Most people work outside of Epping Forest and choose to live in Epping Forest because of it's space.**

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

**Green belt is green belt and should not be considered as housing. Open green spaces should also be protected**

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

**The disruption to Harlow is immense. The regeneration of existing poorly built properties are needed . There is not the demand for privately owned but there is a demand for affordable renting and that is what needs to get right.**

---

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3112

Name Josephine

Flynn

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

The existing village high street attracts local appeal. We have significant shopping areas in east London and Essex. Excessive retail spend is useless and makes no financial sense in times of austerity and the impact on the environment and quality of life from all the extra building work and traffic is what makes people stressed and unhappy. We need to free up our roads not clog them up further.

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Improving existing employment sites makes sense rather than adding to them.

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell lies next to a very over populated urban borough. The roads are constantly congested and polluted. The proposal for an extra 230 homes on limes farm site is ludicrous. It is already densely populated area with very little green parks and spaces for people to get too. It would significantly add to the already stretched roads, schools and transport systems. It would ruin the appearance of Manor Road. The area itself hosts residential properties that have no garden facilities. The green spaces provide enjoyment for all ages. The school that does not have adequate playground facilities use the fields and area for the children to host events in the summer. There is already a 400 home site being built next to the boundary line of Epping and Redbridge which is putting pressure on infrastructure. It would deplete and ruin people's lives if this was

considered. Redbridge council would also need to be considered due to their aggressive plans for housing swamping and contesting the area.

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

For Chigwell no such infrastructure has been planned and we are already stretched and the schools that are there need to be renovated and green space given especially for the children going to Limes Farm school with no space for recreational facilities. Gp and hospital sites are at full capacity and are stretched. Roads and transport systems are congested and crowded and the only cause is oversubscribed housing. Inappropriately placed which adds to the already pressurised systems. Quality of life is effected and pollution levels go up. More rubbish generated and ruins the health quality for the people. No facilities to exercise, increase in road pollution. Inadequate health facilities, not a happy place to live as more people mean crime, rubbish and social problems occur.

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Limes farm sits at the edge of a wealthy green bourough, whoever thought of adding more housing to a tiny area of open space for people that live in the most appealing council housing provision was clearly narrow and not looking at the inequality to health and social pressures. The school maybe should be relocated or developed. The inadequate 1970's housing be cleared and replaced with affordable homes. The police station site be torn down and redeveloped into housing. The road layout resurfaced and opened up to other roads .

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Better cycle provision, more green spaces and planting of native trees and hedge rows. The council having a better environmental planning policy which protects the look of area and the existing trees that also often are cut down for flats. Maybe then it would be a credible option.

---

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Chigwell

As above

---