

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4660      Name Susan      Pyhe

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

**The area has already been over developed and the aesthetics of the district, especially Chigwell has lost it's identity. There are no pubs, petrol stations and enough school, GPs to cope with the expansions.**

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

---

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4660

Name Susan

Pyhe

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Out of the areas proposed, I believe this would be able to cope with the extra volume of traffic it would bring.

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I feel that it is important to bring infrastructure and employment into the area, but not at the expense of residential areas. In the appropriate areas it would be beneficial.

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

The proposals for development on Limes Farm would be catastrophic. Limes farm has already been over developed since it's initial development in 1970. The large volume of both residential and other facilities sited on Limes Farm brings with it higher volumes of traffic and parking issues. School facilities and GP practices cannot cope with the numbers of residents currently in the area. With it's close proximity to Redbridge - the traffic and infrastructure will not be able to cope. The green playing fields are essential to the community providing open space for children and families alike.

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

**Further development to Limes Farm will only bring further social and economic problems.**

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

**I feel that residents on Limes Farm have had expansion on it's surrounding areas and also within the estate without this being taken into account. Trotwood and the new development on the Kelvin Hughes site have also had an impact on the area with large volumes of new homes being built there.**

---

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

**I feel the overall plans are extremely unclear - the proposed building sites are impossible to work out certain areas. Could it not have been clearly marked with road names, rather than checked out blocks. It is extremely poorly portrayed in it's illustration.**

---