

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4712      Name Andrew      Buchan

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I am not convinced by the population projections that the plan is based on. I also consider that future economic development needs to be spread to other parts of the U.K. The plan assumes a continuation of a London-centric development model. Active steps can and should be taken to change this.

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I don't think it's good for the existing main settlements to have more and more housing attached when the infrastructure and facilities are already overloaded, or close to it.

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I am inclined to think this is one of the better proposals as some regeneration of Harlow is long overdue.

---

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4712

Name Andrew

Buchan

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Current employment sites are struggling as it is. I don't see much is solved by trying to create new ones e.g. North Weald. Waltham Abbey seems to me to be continuing to struggle and is quite run down. A decent regeneration plan is desperately needed.

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**Yes**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**Yes**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No**

**Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

**I think that development on the space proposed is out of sort for the area - effectively it's a plan to destroy the nature of the District and the main centres.**

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

**I'm sorry, I've not seen this.**

---

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

**Mostly looks like wishful thinking - no actual proposals. I can't see how the plan will achieve what it says it sets out to. The over development of the District is not desirable.**

---