

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4725 Name Caroline Lang

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I do not agree with this proposal because you do not try allowances for maintaining the Green Belt as it stands.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

There is no reasonable definition for taking and using the Green Belt land for building purposes and surely there must be other ways that houses can be built in the area without destroying the Green Belt.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4725 Name Caroline Lang

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

I cannot see any justification of building 360 houses in the village. As a long term resident I have seen when the present development of the village has occurred. The infrastructure can't cope with the present, it will not cope with the future development. We are already having frequent power shortages, the roads are packed with extra residents and commuter cars. The school is at maximum level. There are problems of public

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

transport i.e. trains and an infrequent bus service. The trains are at maximum capacity, can't cope with more people as TfL frequently respond in the media. And as for the NHS and the doctors - again that is frequently mentioned of what a poor service that is available at present if this plan goes ahead, the situation I see will only get worse to the detriment of the residents of this community nearby causing more problems by building 360 new houses. Surely the village would lose its identity and thereby its character would become a small town and lose its appeal for its residents.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

From what I can understand there is no stipulation of what is needed in the infrastructure that will be required in the future.

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

From what I can understand by building these new properties that in the end the Green Belt would be badly affected and this would go against all policies as stated by National and District policies regarding the Green Belt.

-
9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?