

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4737 Name Tessa Cochran

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The option of one or more garden villages should be explored. A village in the north east should be considered so as not to throw unnecessary burdens on the south of the district. The Roding village on the site of the Woolston Manor Gold Course could be made sustainable.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4737 Name Tessa Cochran

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

I oppose the proposed developments on car parks as this will affect the shopping areas. Parking is already a nightmare in Loughton & Debden.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

There is little infrastructure provision. The schools are full and more schools are needed already. Parking is impossible and roads are crowded and insufficient for the traffic at the present time. The green spaces must be kept on the Debden estate for the well being of the residents. This is very important for the residents of Broadway and Fairmead wards. Rochford and Fessel greens are existing greens that must not be reduced in size. they are suitable for the residents they serve. All significant trees must be retained not only those with T.P.O. on them. No urban intensification of our town please. Out of town farden village a good idea as Loughton is too crowded. No building on Loughton's public urban open spaces please. Not enough infrastructure delivery. The district council does not control these aspects. The Central line has no more capacity. Loughton's green spaces should not be in the Local Plan. Car parks with flats on top - more car parking is badly needed but any flats must only be two storey. No high buildings please. We value the open

aspect and leafy character of our town. 98% of existing homes are no higher than 2 storeys. Loughton's urban open spaces need future protection.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)