

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4747 Name B Davis

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The plan makes distinction on what type of properties it proposes, only dwellings. This is important so a balanced view can be taken. Green belt is an important area of land to provide a meaningful division between communities. Need to provide green areas for people and animals. Plan makes no mention of how this protection is to be distinguished.

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Again, too vague descriptions of how this is to be achieved. The plan talk of 1100 dwellings, what is breakdown of type of houses (flats etc) and what proposed site numbers of each

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

too many of the proposed development appear to have been plonked on any perceived spare sites. It would make more sense to build a whole estate in the area - provisions of schools, doctors surgeries and recreation facilities

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4747 Name B Davis

---

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Loughton and Debden are already suffering due to rents etc & many units have moved to Epping. To compete with some other outlets - westfields, lakeside etc the plan needs to recognise the issues - parking and pollution in Epping is already a major problem so only plan needs to take these issues into consideration.

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Epping has always been firstly a market town and secondly for commuters. The proposed changes would have a devastating effect - could have opposite result. It is in danger of making the area unattractive to possible future residents/employers

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

What the plan seems to ignore is the recent call by the government to improve and increase sport/leisure activities as a way of improving peoples health and prevent anti social activities. Building on existing school playing fields, sports grounds and sports clubs & not providing any replacements locally is not meeting the above objectives and if anything is the absolute opposite. Even some originally well used allotment have been lost in Coopersale & the indication (unreadable). The research mentioned in the draft plans seems at odds

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

with those currently experienced by residents 1) Medical facilities - waiting list of 2/3 weeks for appointment. Road capacity/pollution wherever M22/M11 have incidents all roads in the area immediately clog up & the pollution in Epping High Street is unacceptably high. TFL have not also provided any evidence that it would be able to manage extra capacity to an already overcrowded area.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

**Much too vague to come to any reasonable assessment of proposal needs to be more specific especially in the areas of (unreadable) and equality assessment. How would parking needs be met whilst any work is in progress?**

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

As above

---

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?