

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4759 Name Charles Andrew Swift

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Epping should be protected for our children's future not expanded commercially. The road structure needs major re-development to accommodate such major changes. The Highways around and into epping cannot cope with the expansion. Both schools and health are already over committed there are no places and waiting times are already over stretched. Expansion of all the core services must be expanded to cater for any large migrations or what we have will be destroyed people visit Epping for it's beauty not for it's shopping

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The main housing development is proposed off Brook Road Approx. 600 Houses on Green Belt, this is not acceptable to allocate the home sin one large area. The round network on Brook Road is already *illegible* taking traffic off the town centre. Any housing proposals should be spread across Epping to all parts. We do not want a " new town" on this scale. The infrastructure will not cope.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4759

Name Charles Andrew Swift

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow has already the infrastructure to accommodate the expansion and already has a large retail centre and car parking. It already has the expansion areas allocated to develop. I am unsure of the saturation on Health and schools in the Area - But it should be considered.

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Limited expansion of the following area's. But these towns have centres that are adequate and are what people visit these areas to see and appreciate. We do not require retail complex that *illegible* and protect our communities it is all about creating and *illegible* our identity and individuality not mass retail centres with all the same shops as every other towns. Also most of these areas do not have to road network to accommodate more as we already have congestion.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Only Marginal expansion would be acceptable but not the *illegible* a housing boom and mass migration

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

If no tick then I have no opinion as these areas are not well know to me. Epping should protect one grene belt and it has no road network to expand. The areas of housing should expand internally within the existing lan. Not populate in one large area. Loughton could expand for housing in a *illegible* to Lime as it has the commercial centres and road network to expands. Buckhurst hill should be protected with limited expansion

of housing. Retail is adequate. Theydon Bois could accommodate more housing than the *illegible* perhaps more people that make these choices about expansion live there?

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I see no major improvements to meet current needs let alone future expansions of Epping surrounding areas.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

sustainability will only recommend and support expansion as they only say what or the way they have been commissioned.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?