

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4783 Name Kevin Bernard Hickson

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I know there is a need for more housing, but need a better indication of what is Green Belt and what is not because it seems there is more Encroachment into the Green Belt than is being let on. Plus haven't seen any figures for housing needs in any particular village.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Do not like the limited release of Green belt once encroaching will it just open up the flood gates to any type of planning on the green belt.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Just seems to be far too many houses/estates for Harlow.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4783

Name Kevin Bernard Hickson

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Yes

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

As long as it doesn't interfere with the taking of green belt & wildlife is taken into account.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Again as long as the green belt and rural aspect is taken into account.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

North Weald/Thornwood are in a natural Basin and having been flooded 3 times care has got to be taken not to take away land and drainage, that allows rain to filter away. And with All areas to the Plan feels like far too many houses and too soon.

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

I realize how difficult it is for this plan but how its done it feels like an invasion because of the amount and it feels like in a lot of areas it will become somewhere. What was lovely to live will become areas of traffic and congestion and somewhere you want to move out.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Green belt to be Green belt in all areas & Not eroded away. To suite government policies otherwise whats the point of the Green belt in the 1st place. Last year it was the 60th anniversary of the creation of the Green Belt to form as buffer between development to be shared collectively. It is more important than ever to preserve our green spaces for the biodiversity and history and for their importance as "green lungs"
