Moreton, Bobbingworth and the Laver Parish Council

Objection to Full Planning Application: EPF/3231/21

We object to this application for a Minor Material Amendment, being Variation of Condition 2 for EPF/1052/17. (Demolition of existing industrial and storage workshops and equestrian buildings and replacement with three residential units plus annex and outbuilding together with reconfiguring of access road and landscaping).

Grounds for our Objection:

Very significant difference from the original application granted (EPF/1052/17) to this Minor-Material Amend application. These changes relate to scale of the development, site coverage, building heights and involved tweaks to the application site (red line) boundary. Section 73 refers.

- Incorrect Plan information is being provided in this application In the original granted application EPF/1052/17, the plans detailed by number in Condition 2 are: 3598_SK: 01A. 02A, 03, 101A, 102A, 201.1A, 201.2A, 202.1A, 302A, 202.2A, 401A, 301A, 202.3A. This application (EPF/3231/21) refers to plan reference numbers which have been created within Non-Material Amendments. They do not relate to the plans in Condition 2, EPF/1052/17.
- Agent Claims a 4 sqm reduction. The replacement plans show the main house with an overall floor area increase of approx. 58 % over and above the original granted permission EPF/1052/17 and an increase of about 19% on the footprint of the main building. These increases are all Non-Material Amendments and progress from being a Rectangle (EPF/1052/17), changed to a "T" shape (EPF/2826/18), adding a basement thereby immediately increasing the overall floor area by 33%. This "T" shape changes to "H" shape (EPF/2526/19) and (EPF/3231/21) reverts to a Rectangle.
- Overdevelopment in the Green Belt. This is a major incursion into Green Belt Land. The original 'brownfield' site was about 20% of the field area, the remainder of the field being Green Belt land. Recent Non-Material Amendment plans show the entire development to have enlarged to about 70% of the original field area, Green Belt land. 70% of the already built or proposed houses are now on Green Belt land and no longer on the original 'previously developed' land.
- The **Red Line** has progressively been moved. On recent plans, the position of the red line differs from that on EPF/1052/17 and furthermore now also shows development outside of the red line.
- Highway Issue EFDC Previously Closed Entrance Unsafe. Access to the highway EPF/3231/21 shows 2 highway access entrances to this development. The newly re-opened Highway access (created by a non-material amendment) was not on the original granted application EPF/1052/17. It is in an unsafe position and was closed as a condition on EPF/0637/01 for highway safety reasons.
- The impact of this development on the adjacent listed building has not been considered.

We also believe that this new Full Planning Application is incomplete as it does not provide many of the reports generally required in a Green Belt application. We request that these be provided prior to this application being considered. This, in our opinion, should include a full Contamination Report as EPF/1223/2000 plans clearly show that there was a Piggery on this site (see attached image). Additionally, since EPF/1052/17 was granted, thousands of tonnes of waste material have been imported into this site (without planning permission or a license) to create bunds. (See attached photos)

We request that all **Permitted Development Rights are removed**, should the application be approved.









The Piggery on this site.

